## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

	CIVIL	WING TES - GENERAL			
Case No.	SACV 11-01212-CJC(RNE	Bx)	Date	January 25, 2012	
Title Lehman Brothers Holdings, Inc. v. Greenberry Financial Services, Inc.				ces, Inc.	
Present: T Honorable		CARNEY, UNITED STATE	S DIST	FRICT JUDGE	
Michelle Urie		Not Reported			
Deputy Clerk		Court Reporter / Recorder		Tape No.	
Attorneys Present for Plaintiffs:		Attorneys F	Attorneys Present for Defendants:		
None Present		N	None Present		
later than Falternative following,  X Pro X Not  Abscomplaint complaints such time,	LACK OF PROSE Court, on its own motion, here be rebruary 6, 2012, why this action to a written response by plaintiff as an appropriate response to of of service of summons and tice of Voluntary Dismissal (It sent a showing of good cause, have not been served upon all Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (m). The Chowever, if plaintiffs have not	by ORDERS plaintiff's counseled should not be dismissed for later, the Court will consider the othis OSC, on or before the additional complaint of the frequency of the frequenc	if the after the ction.	ow cause in writing no prosecution. As an of one of the late:  summons and he filing of the o the expiration of	
diligently, necessary, defendant. Local Rule	s plaintiff's responsibility to reincluding filing proofs of ser plaintiffs must also pursue R All stipulations affecting the 8.3.	vice and stipulations extending ule 55 remedies promptly upon the progress of the case must be	ng time on the e appro	e to respond. If default of any oved by the Court.	
stand subn	nitted upon the filing of a resp sponse by plaintiffs is due.		-	fore the date upon	
		T W L CD		: 0	
		Initials of Prepare	r <u>m</u>	<u></u>	